Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JANE DOE LS 90,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 23-cv-03956-SI

ORDER REASSIGNING CASE

IT IS ORDERED that this case has been reassigned using a proportionate, random and blind system pursuant to General Order No. 44 to the Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. in the Oakland division for all further proceedings. Counsel are instructed that all future filings shall bear the initials HSG immediately after the case number.

All hearing and trial dates presently scheduled are vacated. However, existing briefing schedules for motions remain unchanged. Motions must be renoticed for hearing before the judge to whom the case has been reassigned, but the renoticing of the hearing does not affect the prior briefing schedule. Other deadlines such as those for ADR compliance and discovery cutoff also remain unchanged.

Dated: August 30, 2023

Mark B. Busby

Clerk, United States District Court

1BBut

A true and correct copy of this order has been served by mail upon any pro se parties.